Wing-Assisted Incline Running and the Evolution of Flight
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Flapping wings of galliform birds are routinely used to produce aerodynamic forces oriented toward the substrate to enhance hindlimb traction. Here, I document this behavior in natural and laboratory settings. Adult birds fully capable of aerial flight preferentially employ wing-assisted incline running (WAIR), rather than flying, to reach elevated refuges (such as cliffs, trees, and boulders). From the day of hatching and before attaining sustained aerial flight, developing ground birds use WAIR to enhance their locomotor performance through improved foot traction, ultimately permitting vertical running. WAIR provides insight from behaviors observable in living birds into the possible role of incipient wings in feathered theropod dinosaurs and offers a previously unstudied explanation for the evolution of avian flight.

Most species of living birds are altricial, producing naked, thermally dependent, and nonmobile hatchlings that are sequestered in above-ground nests and require substantial parental investment before independence (7). In contrast, offspring of precocial species (e.g., Galliformes and Tinamiformes) hatch fully feathered and are capable of leaving their simple ground nests almost immediately to forage and escape predation. From hatching, chukar partridges (Alectoris chukar) use their well-developed legs to ascend textured surfaces with inclines of up to 45°. However, chicks can also walk and run up steeper slopes while vigorously beating their developing wings (Fig. 1A). With this strategy, called wing-assisted incline running (WAIR), hatchlings negotiate 50° inclines, 4-day-olds climb 60° slopes, and 20-day-olds accomplish vertical ascents. Mature birds also combine wing and leg movements to scale overhanging slopes of up to 105°, as well as to traverse lower inclines when exhausted from aerial flights. When employing WAIR, birds reach heights of > 5 m on vertical surfaces and do not require a running start. Although this behavior is common in nature, WAIR has

Not improve incline running performance beyond what they could attain during their first few days posthatching. Birds with trimmed wings and incapable of aerial flight attained intermediate locomotor performance. These data show that hindlimb traction is associated with WAIR performance.
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Fig. 1. (A) Incline-running performance on a textured substrate (36-grit sandpaper) for chukar partridges with fully feathered (control group) wings during development from posthatching to 50 days. Shaded area represents angles of shallow incline where birds did not recruit their flapping wings. To ascend steep inclines, developing chicks and adults employ WAIR (nonshaded area). (B) Incline-running performance on textured and nontextured (smooth) substrate for chukar partridges possessing fully feathered (control, C), trimmed (T), and plucked (P) wings starting the day after hatching. Data points represent the climbing angle (in 5° increments) that all five individuals within each of the three groups were able to perform that day. Control animals (feathered wings) were capable of vertical running within 20 days of hatching, whereas plucked birds did not improve incline running performance beyond what they could attain during their first few days posthatching. Birds with trimmed wings and incapable of aerial flight attained intermediate locomotor performance. These data show that hindlimb traction is associated with WAIR performance.
remained unappreciated because it normally occurs during brief, explosive bouts (e.g., predator escape or retreat to an elevated roost) and requires high-speed recordings (film or video) to visualize the kinematics (movie S1).

To investigate the contribution of the wings to inclined running through ontogeny, I compared the performance of unmodified control partridges to that of birds whose flight feathers (remiges) were trimmed to half the normal surface area and that of birds whose remiges were completely removed (Fig. 1B) (2). Measured performance was similar among groups during the initial posthatching period, when the surface areas of control and modified wings were comparable. After the seventh day posthatching, however, reduction of the remiges significantly decreased the maximum slope that modified birds could ascend. Birds with remiges removed never exceeded a 60° incline even on textured substrates. Those with half-trimmed remiges were intermediate, lagging control birds by 10° to 20° but showing steady improvement through time. These results are consistent with the wings actively assisting the legs in ascending inclined surfaces, effectively acting like the spoilers on a race car to improve traction.

Wing flapping during incline running might produce aerodynamic or inertial forces that lift the bird’s center of mass vertically. Alternatively, aerodynamic or inertial forces oriented toward the inclined substrate could increase traction, allowing the legs to propel the body upward. To assess the importance of traction, I tested control and modified birds on slopes covered with textured and smooth surfaces. All three experimental groups were capable of steep ascents on the coarsest substrate and were restricted to slopes below 50° on the smooth substrate (Fig. 1B). On the nontextured substrate, the birds made little to no forward progress due to slippage, even though these animals possessed and used fully functional wings. Thus, traction, the interaction of the feet with the substrate, is an important component of flapping locomotion.

Wing kinematics and accelerometers were used to assess the direction of wing forces produced by adult birds as they ascended inclines varying in pitch from 45° to 90° (movie S1). Video recordings provided a kinematic record of wing movements (Fig. 2) (2–4). Birds are known to vary the direction of wing movement, or wing-stroke plane angle, to modulate the total wing force vector appropriately for different modes and speeds of flight. The total aerodynamic force produced by a wing is suggested to be roughly perpendicular to the wing (aerofoil) motion relative to the ground plane. Immature (incapable of flight) and adult birds precisely modify their wing-stroke plane angle to adjust to the slope of an incline. As a bird runs up a steep slope (>45°), the orientation of the wing-stroke plane angle imposes a net aerodynamic vector directed toward the incline, which presses the animal against the substrate and creates improved hindlimb traction (Fig. 2, C and D). For each sloped incline, the wing-stroke plane angle is reoriented as the bird changes body posture and wing excursion (Fig. 2, C and D). However, kinematic data provide only indirect evidence regarding the orientation of aerodynamic or inertial forces generated by flapping wings.

Therefore, we mounted two accelerometers on each animal’s torso (one oriented anteroposteriorly and the other dorsoventrally) to calculate the instantaneous direction and magnitude of whole-body acceleration during WAIR (2, 5). Accelerometer signals were repeatedly coordinated with wing kinematics. These data illustrate that, during a substantial portion of the wingbeat cycle, the bird’s center of mass is being directed toward the substrate (Fig. 3). Results from 127 wing beats in three birds demonstrate that whole-body acceleration vectors during the first 50% of downstroke are oriented upward and forward with a mean magnitude of 3.25 g (acceleration of gravity) ± 0.11 (SE) (Fig. 3, A and B). Throughout the last half of downstroke to mid-upstroke, the acceleration vectors are directed toward the inclined substrate with a mean magnitude of 3.04 g ± 0.14 (Fig. 3, C to E). During the last 50% of upstroke, the whole-body accelerations are small (mean = 1.63 g ± 0.10) and are generally directed opposite the direction of travel (Fig. 3F). Accelerometer data indicate that a significant portion of the wingbeat cycle involves aerodynamic or inertial forces that push the bird toward the inclined substrate, permitting animals to run vertically.

The origin of avian flight has been the subject of analysis and debate for well over a century (6–12). Major paleontological advances have been made with the recognition that birds derive from theropod dinosaurs and that recently discovered small theropods were feathered (13, 14). Our rich knowledge of extant birds—their behavior, life history, and ontogeny—has seemed to offer little insight. Recently, a number of alternative approaches on this subject have been offered, including aerodynamic models (15), character analyses (16), and discussions on the evolution of the flight stroke (17). Nevertheless, a clear delineation of incremental adaptive stages of forelimb evolution necessary to achieve the
fully developed flapping mechanics as observed among extant species has remained a challenge (18, 19).

I propose that the incipiently feathered forelimbs of small, bipedal proto-birds provided the same locomotor advantages for inclined running as are present in extant birds. Whether sprinting across an obstacle-filled terrain or up inclined or even vertical surfaces, being chased, or chasing, an animal capable of employing WAIR enjoys improved hindlimb traction (Fig. 2). In a proto-bird, this behavior would have represented the intermediate stage in the development of flight-capable, aerodynamic wings. The WAIR hypothesis resolves many of the limitations associated with the popular arboreal and cursorial scenarios.

The propulsive excursion of the forelimbs of most tetrapods, in which the forelimbs protract and retract in anteroposterior planes, differs fundamentally from that in flying forms (i.e., birds, bats, and pterosaurs), which primarily involves elevation and depression in a transverse, dorsoventral plane (20). Forelimb excursion during terrestrial flap-running in gallinaceous birds negotiating intermediate slopes (~45° to 60°) has a strong anteroposterior component, in contrast to a transverse stroke during flight (Fig. 2, D and F). As in the intermediate condition exemplified by the glenoid orientation of Archaeopteryx (20), the anteroposterior limb excursion employed by juvenile and adult ground birds during WAIR exhibits the humeral movement expected of a transitional stage. Although I propose that the net force vectors from proto-wings initially were directed toward the substrate to augment hindlimb traction, redirected movements would have permitted rudimentary aerial ascent and controlled descent from elevated refuges, as is observed in extant juvenile galliforms. The ontogenetic trajectories of WAIR behavior in extant birds offer a plausible scenario for incremental adaptive plateaus that feathered theropods (e.g., Caudipteryx) achieved in developing aerial flight.

Fig. 3. Instantaneous measure of whole-body acceleration during wing-assisted incline running on a treadmill pitched to 55°. Red arrows depict mean values at six kinematic intervals during the wingbeat cycle: (A) beginning of downstroke, (B) mid-downstroke, (C) late downstroke, (D) end of downstroke, (E) beginning of upstroke, (F) mid-upstroke. Instantaneous acceleration was determined from 127 wing beats (n) taken from three trials for each of three birds (bird 1, n = 31; bird 2, n = 56; bird 3, n = 40). Because acceleration is the only vector component of force, the arrows indicate the direction and relative intensity of the forces acting on the bird’s center of mass. During the first half of downstroke (A) and (B), acceleration vectors are directed upward and forward. During the second half of downstroke and through 1/3 of upstroke (B) to (E), the forces experienced by the center of mass are directed toward the substrate and have likely been generated by the wings, thus increasing hindlimb traction.
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