Ecological Trade-offs between Migration and Reproduction Are Mediated by the Nutrition-Sensitive Insulin-Signaling Pathway
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Abstract

Crowding and changes in food availability are two critical environmental conditions that impact an animal’s trajectory toward either migration or reproduction. Many insects facing this challenge have evolved wing polyphenisms. When conditions favor reproduction, wing polyphenic species produce adults that either have no wings or short, non-functional wings. Facultative wing growth reflects a physiological and evolutionary trade-off between migration and reproduction, triggered by environmental conditions. How environmental cues are transduced to produce these alternative forms, and their associated ecological shift from migration to reproduction, remains an important unsolved problem in evolutionary ecology. The brown planthopper, a wing polymorphic insect exhibiting strong trade-offs in investment between migration and reproduction, is one of the most serious rice pests in Asia. In this study, we investigated the function of four genes in the insulin-signaling pathway known to couple nutrition with growth, PI3 Kinase (PI3K), PDK1, Akt (Protein Kinase B), and the forkhead gene FOXO. Using a combination of RNA interference and pharmacological inhibitor treatment, we show that all four genes contribute to tissue level regulation of wing polymorphic development in this insect. As predicted, silencing of the NlPI3K, NlAkt and NlPDK1 through dsRNA and with the pharmacological inhibitor Perifosine resulted in short-winged brown planthoppers, whereas knockdown of NlFOXO resulted in long-winged planthoppers. Morphometric analyses confirm that phenotypes from our manipulations mimic what would be found in nature, i.e., major parameters such as bristle number, wing area and body weight are not significantly different from non-experimental animals. Taken together, these data implicate the insulin-signaling pathway in the transduction of environmental factors into condition-dependent patterns of wing growth in insects.
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Introduction

The ability of an organism to rapidly respond to changing environmental conditions has significant consequences for its survival, reproduction, and fitness [1]. Polyphenism, the developmental capacity to couple coordinated expression of alternative suites of morphological, physiological, and behavioral traits with circumstance, is an effective solution to this problem, as it permits organisms to facultatively invest in the production of costly traits only when conditions are appropriate. This rapid response to condition is commonly seen in insects such as aphids and crickets, who face a pronounced allocation tradeoff between wings and wing muscles, on the one hand, and reproduction on the other [2-10]. These insects switch between fully winged forms capable of migratory flight, and flightless forms that instead allocate resources to reproduction [11, 12]. Although it has long been evident that
polyphenic insects coupled wing growth with exposure to specific environmental cues, such as increased crowding and/or deteriorating nutrition, the physiological and genetic mechanisms responsible for the alternate patterns of wing growth are less well understood. In both aphids and crickets, cue-induced differences in circulating levels of whole animal physiological signals such as juvenile hormone (JH) appear to provide the first link between external conditions and patterns of tissue growth [6, 13]. However, these hormone signals must act on specific tissues to enact morph-specific patterns of growth, and the details of these interactions are almost entirely unknown.

The brown planthopper Nilaparvata lugens Stål is a serious insect pest across Asia. As with aphids and crickets, wing growth in this species is polyphenic. Both males and females are capable of developing into either a migratory long-winged form or a reproductive short-winged form [14-16]. Populations of N. lugens experiencing crowding and low food availability produce high ratios of long winged individuals, which will take flight, migrate, and colonize new fields [17]. This occurs when the nutritional value of the rice plant decreases as the rice ages, and as greater numbers of brown planthoppers crowd the plants [17]. Newly colonizing populations that experience less crowding and high food availability and food quality have a greater proportion of short winged individuals, which have an increased capacity for reproduction. Thus, a diversity of environmental factors such as temperature [18], developmental stage of the host plant [17], and population density [19, 20], have been shown to affect the development of wings in brown planthoppers.

But what mediates the condition-dependent growth of wings in brown planthoppers? As in other species of wing polymorphic insects [6, 13], topical application of JH analogs and Precocene II (which decreases JH titers through its effects on the corpora allata [14, 21]) induces short-winged and long-winged brown planthoppers, respectively [19, 21-23]. These same studies also identified a sensitive period, between the 3rd and 4th instar, when planthoppers are sensitive to environmental conditions and when JH levels appear to regulate wing growth [19, 20]. These results suggest that JH signaling functions upstream in the regulation of brown planthopper wing polymorphism, and that circulating concentrations of JH mediate tissue-specific differential expression of genes, initiating the switch between winged and wingless trajectories of development. What remains unknown is how downstream tissues, such as wings, affected by this polyphenism modulate their growth, and whether additional signals, such as insulin and insulin-like growth factors, interact with JH to couple tissue growth with condition.

The insulin signaling (IS) pathway is known to translate environmental cues such as nutrition and stress into the regulation of the growth of animal body parts in a condition-dependent manner. It is highly conserved across animal species both in pathway members and in function. When this pathway is activated through the binding of insulin/insulin-like peptides/insulin growth factors to the insulin receptor, the resulting signal transduction cascade promotes cellular growth and proliferation [24-28]. It does this by turning on transcription factors in the nucleus that promote growth, but also through the action of the serine kinase PKB/Akt, which inhibits the forkhead box-containing O subfamily protein FOXO; FOXO is a key growth inhibitor [24, 25, 29-31]. Thus, depending on the constitutive sensitivity of a tissue, the concentration of insulin/ILPs/IGFs will determine the amount of downstream activation of the insulin signaling pathway, and, in this way, regulate growth under different environmental conditions [24, 31-36]. Importantly, the IS pathway regulates the nutrition-sensitive scaling of body parts with overall body size (allometry), as well as the nutrition-sensitive growth of exaggerated sexually selected structures and caste-specific (e.g. soldier) traits in social insects [30-32, 35, 37, 38]. Because of its general role as a tissue-specific modulator of trait growth, and its involvement in other insect polyphenisms, the IS pathway is an excellent candidate for regulating the trade-off in investment between migration and reproduction found in wing polymorphic insects. Recently, Xu et al. [39] published a study in planthoppers that showed that two insulin receptors determine alternative wing morphs in N. lugens.

In this study we tested the hypothesis that downstream components of the IS pathway mediate condition-dependent growth of wings between migratory and reproductive morphs of the brown planthopper. In contrast to Xu et al. [39], we investigated the functional importance of PI3K, PDK1, Akt, and FOXO on the growth of wings in laboratory populations of this insect.

Results

Disruption of PI3K/Akt/FOXO signaling by RNAi or chemical inhibitors changed wing-morph ratio

Short- and long-winged forms of the brown planthopper, and the damage they typically inflict on rice plants, are shown in Fig. 1. To test for the
functional roles of \textit{NlFOXO}, \textit{NlAkt}, \textit{NlPI3K} and \textit{NlPDK1} in the polyphenic regulation of brown planthopper wing growth. Phylogenetic analysis showed that these four genes are conserved across the species (Fig. S1-S4). We injected \textit{in vitro} transcribed double stranded RNA (dsRNA) against our target genes, thus decreasing mRNA levels and disrupting signal transduction through the IS pathway. Because signaling through this pathway stimulates cell proliferation and tissue growth, we predicted that disruption of \textit{NlPI3K}, \textit{NlAkt}, and \textit{NlPDK1} at the 4\textsuperscript{th} instar nymph stage would increase the proportion of short-winged animals, while disruption of \textit{NlFOXO} at this same stage would increase the proportion of long-winged adults.

qRT-PCR was used to measure the mRNA level of \textit{NlPI3K}, \textit{NlAkt}, \textit{NlPDK1} and \textit{NlFOXO} in knockdown and control animals. Injection of dsRNA was effective at reducing relative transcript abundance, and therefore at silencing activity of our target genes. Relative to levels in wing tissues of control animals, transcript abundances in wings of knockdown animals were 6.3\%, 3.9\%, 10.0\%, and 4.5\% respectively, 3 days after injection at the 4\textsuperscript{th} instar nymphal stage (Supplemental Fig. 5). Transcript abundances were also reduced after injection at the 5\textsuperscript{th} instar nymphal stage, although the development of the wing pad is less sensitive to this reduction (Supplemental Fig. 5).

As predicted, knockdown of \textit{NlPI3K} and \textit{NlAkt} during the 4\textsuperscript{th} instar nymph stage and \textit{NlPDK1} and \textit{NlPI3K} during the 5\textsuperscript{th} instar nymph stage increased the proportion of short-winged adults (Fig. 2). This is also consistent with what Xu et al. (2015) found for knockdown of \textit{N. lugens} Insulin Receptor 1 (\textit{NlInR1}) which resulted in short-winged adults. However, knockdown of \textit{NlFOXO} increased the proportion of long-winged adults (Fig. 2), as did knockdown of \textit{NlInR2}[39].

Our dsRNA injection experiment also showed differential sensitivity between male and female brown planthoppers. Specifically, females were more sensitive than males to the \textit{PI3K/Akt/FOXO} signaling disruption (Fig. 2). Injection of ds\textit{NlAkt}, ds\textit{NlPI3K} or Perifosine led to significant wing-morph ratio changes in females but not in males (Fig. 2 A, C). Injection of dsRNA during the 5\textsuperscript{th} instar nymphal stage did not change the wing form as it does at the 4\textsuperscript{th} instar nymph, the differential sensitivity between males and females was not apparent (Fig. 2 C,D).

To further study the role of \textit{PI3K/Akt/FOXO} signaling in the brown planthopper wing-morph polyphenism, we used two chemical inhibitors, Perifosine (MedChem Express, USA), an inhibitor of \textit{Akt}, and LY294002 (MedChem Express, USA), an inhibitor of PI-3K. The phenotype induced by injection of Perifosine mimicked that of \textit{NlPI3K}, \textit{NlAkt} or \textit{NlPDK1} dsRNA, i.e., the ratio of short-winged female adults increased, while ratio of short-winged males did not change significantly compared to the ds\textit{GFP} control animals (Fig. 2B). As with the dsRNA knockdowns, the effects were apparent in 4\textsuperscript{th} but not 5\textsuperscript{th} instar nymphal stages, and were stronger in females than in males (Fig. 2).
RNAi mediated double knockout/inhibition of PI3K/Akt/FOXO Signaling by dsRNA and chemical inhibitors

To further study the role of the insulin-signaling pathway in brown planthopper wing polyphenism, we used double gene knockdowns and then observed the resulting wing-morph ratios in the adults. As shown in Fig. 3A, knockout of NlFOXO or NlPI3K separately at the 4th instar nymph stage led to 100% long-winged females, while knockout of NlFOXO and NlPI3K led to a slight reduction to 93% long-winged females (Fig. 3A). Similarly, knockout of NlFOXO and NlAkt led to 92.2% long-winged females (Fig. 3A).

These double silencing experiments reveal some weak negative regulation of NlFOXO by its upstream regulators NlAkt and NlPI3K. However, silencing of the NlFOXO and NlPDK1 through RNAi or through pharmacological inhibition did not change the wing-morph ratio significantly.

Double knockout of NlPDK1 with either NlAkt or NlPI3K increased the percentage of female short-winged forms to 100% (Fig. 3). The female and male also showed different sensitivity, as only the double knockout of NlFOXO plus NlAkt had 2.4% short-winged forms (Fig. 3B). These results support our previous results and are also consistent with the model that FOXO acts downstream of the Akt, PI3K and PDK1. The expression levels of NlPI3K, NlAkt, NlPDK1 and NlFOXO after dsRNA injection were also measured and all showed significant reduction (Supplemental Fig. S5 B,C).

Figure 3. Double knockdown/inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/FOXO Signaling pathway. A, Female. NC(n=82), dsGFP(n=50), dsNIFOXO+dsNIAkt(n=63), dsNIFOXO+dsNIP3K(n=42), dsNIFOXO+dsNPDK1(n=37), dsNIFOXO+dsPDK1+dsNIP3K(n=50), dsNIFOXO+dsPDK1(n=40), dsNIFOXO+LY294002(n=57), dsNIFOXO+dsPDK1+dsPerifosine(n=43). B, Male. NC(n=61). dsGFP(n=39), dsNIFOXO+dsNIAkt(n=45), dsNIFOXO+dsNIP3K(n=35), dsNIFOXO+dsNPDK1(n=59), dsNIFOXO+dsPDK1(n=40), dsNIFOXO+dsPDK1+dsPerifosine(n=31). *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. See Fig. 2 for LWF, SWF, LWM, SWM.
Wing morphologies of wildtype and knockdown animals

The brown planthopper wing has bristles along the wing veins that differ in density between short- and long-winged individuals (Fig. 4, Table S1). Although the overall vein and bristle distribution is similar in both wing forms, bristles of long-winged adults are more dispersed than those of short-winged adults, especially in the distal region of the wing (Fig. 4). In addition to wing length, we measured wing bristle density to test whether changes in wing morphology induced by perturbations to insulin signaling resembled naturally occurring differences observed between long and short winged forms. Specifically, bristle number and wing size were measured using NIH ImageJ for wings from both males and females of untreated control animals, dsGFP and water-injected control animals, and dsRNA knockdown and pharmacologically treated animals (NIFO XO, NIAkt, NIPDK1, NIP3K, NIAkt + NIPDK1, NIP3K, NIAkt + NIP3K, NIFOXO + NIAkt, NIFOXO + NIP3K, NIFOXO + NIPDK1, Perifosene, LY294002, NIFOXO + Perifosene, NIFOXO + LY294002).

Figure 4. Dendrogram of Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of the brown planthopper wings and representative of each wing-morph. **Bristle number/wing area**: LY294002 (LWF: n=2), Perifosine(LWF: n=2), dsNIFOXO+dsNIAkt(SWM: n=2), all the others(n=5). **Body weight**: dsGFP(LWF: n=6; LWWM: n=8), NIAkt(LWWM: n=6), NIP3K(LWWM: n=3), NIPDK1(LWF: n=5; LWWM: n=7), LY294002(LWF: n=1), Perifosine(LWF: n=1; LWWM: n=9), dsNIFOXO+dsNIAkt(SWF: n=4; SWWM: n=1), dsNIFOXO+dsNIP3K(SWF: n=4), dsNIAkt+dsNIP3K(LWWM: n=4), dsNIAkt+dsNIPDK1(LWWM: n=6), all the others(n=10). **Body length**: dsGFP(LWF: n=6; LWWM: n=8), NIAkt (LWWM: n=6), NIP3K(LWWM: n=3), NIPDK1(LWF: n=5; LWWM: n=7), LY294002(LWF: n=1), Perifosine(LWF: n=1, LWWM: n=9), dsNIFOXO+dsNIAkt(SWF: n=4; SWWM: n=1), dsNIFOXO+dsNIP3K(SWF: n=4), dsNIAkt+dsNIP3K(LWWM: n=4), dsNIAkt+dsNIPDK1(LWWM: n=6), all the others(n=10). See Fig. 2 for LWF, SWF, LWWM, SWWM.
In addition, because each control and treatment population contained both long- and short-winged individuals, measurements were collected for both wing forms of each sex and treatment category, yielding a total of 50 categories. The ratio of bristle number/wing size was also calculated, and body size characteristics including body weight and body length were measured. Data were analyzed by Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) in SPSS (IBM). Results showed that all 50 categories of brown planthoppers fell neatly into 4 clusters, Long-winged Female (LWF), Long-winged Male (LWM), Short-winged Female (SWF) and Short-winged Male (SWM) (Fig. 4, Table S1). With the exception of dsNIPDK1 injected long-winged females, all wing morphologies of treated animals clustered exactly with natural long- and short-winged forms collected from the field (abbreviations in the parenthesis of the 1st column, Fig. 4, Table S1). This analysis supports the conclusion that our perturbation treatments affected the ratios of long- versus short-winged adults, but not the morphologies of the wings themselves.

Discussion

Wing size is ultimately determined by the number and size of cells. Therefore, polygenic switching between long- and short-winged brown planthopper forms must entail changes to cell number and/or cell size. In Drosophila, signaling through the insulin pathway controls the rate of cell proliferation and cell size [29]. Specifically, increased signaling through this pathway is marked by increased levels of expression of PI3K, PDK1, and Akt, which inactivate the pathway antagonist FOXO [24, 31, 33, 40]. Overexpression of PI3K, PDK1, and/or Akt, within specific imaginal discs results in overgrowth of these structures [41-46], while over-expression of the Drosophila FOXO (dFOXO) arrests the cell cycle and reduces organ size [29, 31].

Our results are consistent with previous studies in Drosophila showing that PI3K activates PDK1, which then activates Akt, and Akt, in turn, inhibits the activity of FOXO and its downstream targets. Similar interactions among pathway elements are inferred for the brown planthopper: because NIP13K, NIAkt and NIPDK1 negatively regulate the NIFOXO activity, the phenotypes of silencing NIFOXO are opposite to those of silencing NIP13K, NIAkt or NIPDK1. Our results were obtained independently of Xu et al.[39] which found two separate insulin receptors regulating polyphenism in the brown planthopper. Our study focused on the downstream elements of the IS pathway and not the insulin receptor but our results confirm the findings of Xu et al.[39]. Based on our model we predicted that disruption of the insulin signaling cascade by injection of NIP13K, NIPDK1, or NIAkt dsRNA, or by injection of chemical inhibitors of NIP13K or NIAkt, would reduce wing growth in animals fated to become the long-winged form (Fig. 5). Because signaling through the IS pathway is expected to be largely absent in the wing primordia of animals fated to become the short-winged form, we predicted no effect of these same treatments on these animals (Fig. 5). Similarly, FOXO is predicted to be active in wing primordia of short wing, but not long wing individuals, so disruption of NIFOXO by dsRNA should increase wing growth only in those animals (Fig. 5). Our results were consistent with these predictions and with the knockdown experiments on NInR1 and NInR2[39]. Inactivation of NIP13K/NIPDK1/NIAkt signaling disrupted the formation of long-winged adults, increasing the proportion of short-winged individuals, while disruption of NIFOXO enhanced wing growth in otherwise short-winged individuals, increasing the proportion of long-winged adults[39, 47].

![Figure 5. Action model of the brown planthopper wing polymorphism regulated by the PI3K/Akt/FOXO Signaling pathway. Pathway genes marked by (*) showed marked effects on wing phenotype when perturbed, suggesting a functional role in wing growth in animals. Genes whose actions are marked by (X) had no effect on wing phenotype when perturbed, implying that they were inactive in 4th instar wings of these animals.](http://www.ijbs.com)
effectively erase any alteration to pathway activity occurring upstream. Perturbation of genes predicted to act further upstream, on the other hand, had less dramatic phenotypic effects. Knockdown of NIP3K and NIPDK1, both relatively upstream, had a smaller combined effect on female wing growth than did combinations of either of these genes with NIAkt, which is farther downstream (Fig. 3B).

In natural populations, the ratio of wing morphs is different in females and males [18, 20]. Variation in sensitivity of female and male brown planthoppers to condition is also seen in the disruption of the PI3K/Akt/FOXO signaling. This sex specific response to condition has been shown in other insect polyphenisms such as in the dung beetle genus Onthophagus [48-50], the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum, [5, 12], and the wing polymorphic field cricket genus Gryllus [2, 5]. Taken together, our results suggest that insulin signaling is required for the wing-morph change of the brown planthopper, through the consecutive action of NIP3K/NIPDK1/NIAkt and their negative regulation of NIFOXO.

When environmental conditions or the nutritional of the rice deteriorate, favoring development of a full-length, dispersal-capable wings, then activation of NIP3K and NIPDK1 within the wing primordia would activate NIAkt. Activated NIAkt would, in turn, phosphorylate NIFOXO, and subsequent translocation of phosphorylated NIFOXO into the cytoplasm would inhibit its transcriptional activity, promoting cell proliferation and leading to the formation of the long-winged, dispersal form (left, Fig. 5). Alternatively, when environmental conditions or the nutrition of rice favor reproduction rather than dispersal, then inactivation of NIP3K, NIPDK1 and NIAkt in wing primordia would lead to the nuclear localization of NIFOXO, activating transcription of NIFOXO target genes, inhibiting cellular proliferation, and leading to the formation of the short-winged, reproductive form (right, Fig. 5).

Brown planthopper wing-morph is determined not only genetically, but also by many other factors including hormones, population density, temperature, nutrition and even sub-lethal doses of commonly used insecticides such as imidacloprid and dinotefuran [51]. Therefore, stimulated by the action of both intrinsic and extrinsic factors, these unknown upstream response factors or receptors regulate signaling activity of the PI3K/Akt/FOXO signaling pathway and thus wing polyphenism of the brown planthopper. It will be important to link juvenile hormone signaling to insulin signaling in the regulation of this important wing polyphenism in animals.

Materials and Methods

Insect rearing

The N. lugens brown planthopper population was maintained in the laboratory at China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, China. The criteria for categorizing the long- and short wing brown planthopper was based on the length of forewings and hind wings: long-winged individuals possessed wings that extended longer than posterior end of abdomen, while short-winged individuals possessed forewings shorter than the sixth abdominal segment and hind wings shorter than the first abdominal segment. The brown planthoppers were fed with rice seedlings of Ifyou-023 (Oryza sativa L. cv.) in the lab at 25º C, under 14 hrs:10 hrs light:dark cycle at 70%-80% humidity. Animals were reared at densities of 8-12 animals per 110 cm³ space, the ratios of planthopper wing forms under this condition without treatment were shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 (NC).

RNA preparation, cloning and sequence analysis

Total RNA was extracted from equally mixed brown planthopper nymphs and adults using Trizol-based RNAiso Plus total RNA extraction kit (Takara, Dalian). First strand cDNA was synthesized using the Transcriptor First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche, Shanghai) and used as a template. The brown planthopper homologues of PDK1, PI3K, Akt, and FOXO were cloned(NIFOXO, KM250122; NIAkt, KM250121; NIPDK1, KM373312; NIP3K, KM373311). Cloning was performed as previously described [52, 53].

The NIFOXO, NIAkt and the NIPDK1, NIP3K fragment used for dsRNA synthesis were amplified by PCR using Ex-Taq polymerase (Takara, Dalian). The primers used were:

NIFOXOF: 5’TGCTGTGCTGTATATCATC3’,
NIFOXOR: 5’ATTGACCTACCGCTATGAA3’;
NIAktF: 5’TGCTCAGGACTACCAACCACCT3’,
NIAktR: 5’GTTGTTATAGCCAGCGCAGG3’,
NIPDK1F: 5’CAGTGATGTCGCCGGTGACA3’,
NIPDK1R: 5’GCCGCCGTCATCTGCTTTC3’;
NIP3KF: 5’TGAGAGTATGAGCGAATGCG3’,
NIP3KR: 5’ACATCAACCACACATTGAG3’.

The fragments were then purified with a gel purification kit (Omega, USA) and cloned into the PMD18-T vector (Takara, Dalian) and the sequences were analyzed. Homologous sequences were identified with the Blast program at the NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The sequences were analyzed and phylogenic trees were constructed using ClustalW, MEGA5.1.
RNA interference

Double stranded RNA was synthesized using the synthesis kit RiboMAX™ Large Scale RNA Production System-I7 (Promega, Beijing). The template of dsRNA synthesis was amplified by PCR using the PMD18-T plasmid (Takara, Dalian) inserted with a DNA fragment of the gene of interest, and then the PCR fragment was purified with a DNA purification kit (Omega bio-tek, USA). dsRNA synthesis was carried out as described in the Promega technical bulletin TB166 and in our previous paper [52, 53]. Primers used for dsRNA synthesis, including primers for the control gene Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP), are listed in Table 1.

dsRNA was injected into the thorax of CO2-anesthetized 4th and 5th instar nymphs using a Nikon microscope and Narishige injection system (MN-151, Narishige) as previously described [54]. 0.1μg dsRNA was injected for each insect. The concentration and volume of the dsRNA injections were chosen based on previous studies [53, 54]. Nymphs were reared on rice seedlings after injection were chosen based on previous studies [53, 54]. Primers used for dsRNA synthesis, including primers for the control gene Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP), are listed in Table 1.

Pharmacological Inhibitor Experiments

Both Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI-3K) inhibitor LY294002 (MW=307, MedChem Express, USA) and AKT Inhibitor Perifosine (MW=462, MedChem Express, USA) were dissolved in ultrapure water at a final concentration of 10 μM. Injection of ultrapure water was used as a control. 1 μl inhibitor was used for each brown planthopper nymph. The mortality, wing-morph and sex were recorded.

Trait measurement

Wing length was measured using a C7 microscope eyepiece ocular micrometer (Shanghai Optics, Shanghai). Wings were examined under a dissection microscope (Nikon SMZ745T) at 3x magnification. Wing length was measured from midway along the vein to the distal end of the wing.

Whole brown planthoppers were imaged using a Nikon microscope (Nikon SMZ745T) with NIS-elements. The wing was removed from the thorax and mounted in euparal on a microscope slide and covered with a cover slip. Then the long wing was imaged with a Nikon microscope (AZ100, 2X) with NIS-elements; short wings were imaged with a Nikon microscope (Eclipse 80i, 4X) with NIS-elements. Images were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS5. Wing area was measured using NIH ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Bristles were counted and recorded for each of the wing types.

Hierarchical Cluster analyses using SPSS 20.0 were used to contrast wing morphologies for natural and experimentally-induced long and short-winged forms. For cluster analysis, a between-group linkage method with Euclidean distance measures to discriminate clusters was used.

Quantitative Real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out using Roche SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix and SYBR® Green RT-PCR Reagents Kit (Roche Applied Science, Shanghai) and the procedures were similar to that described in our previous paper [52, 53]. Reverse transcription was carried out as described by the supplier. A 25 μl reaction was used as described (Roche Applied Science, Shanghai) and 2 μl of diluted cDNA (20× dilution of the first strand cDNA synthesis reaction) was used for each reaction. We used the 2−ΔΔCt method[55] to compare the relative expression levels of our genes of interest at different developmental stages, in different tissues or before and after gene silencing. NIRS15 was used as reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR, which were selected according to those previously reported for brown planthoppers [56].

### Table 1. Primers used for dsRNA synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence(5'-3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dsNIP13KFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIP13KFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIPD13KFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIPD13KFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIAHF</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIAHR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIFOXOFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsNIFOXOR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsGFPF</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsGFPFR</td>
<td>TAAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCATCGTAGCTCGACTCGGTTCGTFT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Primers used for quantitative real-time PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Forward (5'-3')</th>
<th>Reverse (5'-3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIP13K</td>
<td>TACAAAGCTTGCCACCTTCCTACTACA</td>
<td>GCGAGCAGAGAAGACTGATGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPD13K</td>
<td>ACATTCTGCAGGGAACCAAGGAGGAAAG</td>
<td>TGTCGCGAACAGCTTCACGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAkt</td>
<td>CTTGCATACAGGGAGGGCATCA</td>
<td>CTTCGCCAGGAGCAGAGTCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIFOXO</td>
<td>ACCGTTCTACGCGGTACAGGAG</td>
<td>CTTCGCCAGGAGCAGAGTCGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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