Wordsworth's Prefaces of 1800 and 1802

There are two main versions of the Preface to *Lyrical Ballads*. The first is that of 1800 (the 1798 edition of the poems had been prefaced simply by an *Advertisement*, *v.* p. 7) and the second that of 1802, which is the basis of Wordsworth's final version of 1850. The main difference between the two versions is the addition in the 1802 text of the passage which discusses the question, 'What is a Poet?' (*v.* p. 254). The 1800 text is reproduced here with the 1802 variants in italics. Footnotes marked by a * are Wordsworth's, those by a † are our own. The text of the 1805 reprint of the Preface is identical with that of 1802, except for one or two slight typographical differences. Also, in the 1802 edition Wordsworth included an Appendix on poetic diction which is reprinted in the present volume as Appendix B (*v.* p. 314).

**PREFACE**

The First Volume of these Poems has already been submitted to general perusal. It was published, as an experiment which, hoped, might be of some use to ascertain, how far, by fitting a metrical arrangement a selection of the real language of men in a state of vivid sensation, that sort of pleasure and that quantity of pleasure may be imparted, which a Poet mayationally endeavour to impart.

I had formed no very inaccurate estimate of the probable feet of those Poems: I flattered myself that they who should pleased with them would read them with more than common

---

1 *experiment, . . . hand, . . . aware, . . . variety, . . . nakness,
pleasure: and on the other hand I was well aware that by those who should dislike them they would be read with more than common dislike. The result has differed from my expectation in this only, that I have pleased a greater number, than I ventured to hope I should please.

For the sake of variety and from a consciousness of my own weakness I was induced to request the assistance of a Friend, who furnished me with the Poems of the Ancient Mariner, the Foster-Mother's Tale, the Nightingale, the Dungeon, and the Poem entitled Love. I should not, however, have requested this assistance, had I not believed that the poems of my Friend would in a great measure have the same tendency as my own, and that, though there would be found a difference, there would be found no discordance in the colours of our style; as our opinions on the subject of poetry do almost entirely coincide.

Several of my Friends are anxious for the success of these Poems from a belief, that if the views, with which they were composed, were indeed realized, a class of Poetry would be produced, well adapted to interest mankind permanently, and not unimportant in the multiplicity and in the quality of its moral relations: and on this account they have advised me to prefix a systematic defence of the theory, upon which the poems were written. But I was unwilling to undertake the task, because I knew that on this occasion the Reader would look coldly upon my arguments, since I might be suspected of having been principally influenced by the selfish and foolish hope of reasoning him into an approbation of these particular

---

2 Poems
3 that, if the views with which they were composed were . . . multiplicity, and
4 because, . . . opinions,
Poems: and I was still more unwilling to undertake the task, because adequately to display my opinions and fully to enforce my arguments would require a space wholly disproportionate to the nature of a preface. For to treat the subject with the clearness and coherence, of which I believe it susceptible, it would be necessary to give a full account of the present state of the public taste in this country, and to determine how far this taste is healthy or depraved; which again could not be determined, without pointing out, in what manner language and the human mind act and react on each other, and without retracing the revolutions not of literature alone but likewise of society itself. I have therefore altogether declined to enter regularly upon this defence; yet I am sensible, that there would be some impropriety in abruptly obtruding upon the Public, without a few words of introduction, Poems so materially different from those, upon which general approbation is at present bestowed.

It is supposed, that by the act of writing in verse an Author makes a formal engagement that he will gratify certain known habits of association, that he not only thus apprizes the Reader that certain classes of ideas and expressions will be found in his book, but that others will be carefully excluded. This exponent or symbol held forth by metrical language must in different ages of literature have excited very different expectations: for example, in the age of Catullus Terence and Lucretius, and that of Statius or Claudian, and in our own country, in the age of Shakespeare and Beaumont and Fletcher, and that of Donne and Cowley, or Dryden, or Pope. I will not take upon me to determine the exact import of the promise which by the act of writing in verse an Author in the present

---

5 which, again, . . . re-act . . . revolutions, . . . alone,
6 association;
7 Catullus, Terence, and Lucretius . . . Claudian;
day makes to his Reader; but I am certain it will appear to many persons that I have not fulfilled the terms of an engagement thus voluntarily contracted. I hope therefore the Reader will not censure me, if I attempt to state what I have proposed to myself to perform, and also, (as far as the limits of a preface will permit) to explain some of the chief reasons which have determined me in the choice of my purpose: that at least he may be spared any unpleasant feeling of disappointment, and that I myself may be protected from the most dishonorable accusation which can be brought against an Author, namely, that of an indolence which prevents him from endeavouring to ascertain what is his duty, or, when his duty is ascertained, prevents him from performing it.

The principal object then, which I proposed to myself in these Poems was to make the incidents of common life interesting by tracing in them, truly though not ostentatiously, the

---

8 Author, . . . day.
9 after "contracted" inserts the following (v. the 1798 Advertisement v. p. 7): They who have been accustomed to the gaudiness and inane phraseology of many modern writers, if they persist in reading this book to its conclusion, will, no doubt, frequently have to struggle with feelings of strangeness and awkwardness: they will look round for poetry, and will be induced to inquire by what species of courtesy these attempts can be permitted to assume that title. . . perform;
10 ascertained, . . . object, then,
11 "to make the incidents . . . interesting" becomes: to choose incidents and situations from common life, and to relate or describe them, throughout, as far as was possible, in a selection of language really used by men; and, at the same time, to throw over them a certain colouring of imagination, whereby ordinary things should be presented to the mind in an unusual way; and further, and above all, to make these incidents and situations interesting by
primary laws of our nature; chiefly as far as regards the manner
in which we associate ideas in a state of excitement. Low and
rustic life was generally chosen because in that situation\textsuperscript{12} the
essential passions of the heart find a better soil in which they
can attain their maturity, are less under restraint, and speak
a plainer and more emphatic language; because in that
situation\textsuperscript{13} our elementary feelings exist\textsuperscript{12} in a state of greater
simplicity and consequently may be more accurately con­templated and more forcibly communicated; because the
manners of rural life germinate from those elementary feelings;
and from the necessary character of rural occupations\textsuperscript{13} are
more easily comprehended; and are more durable; and lastly,
because in that situation\textsuperscript{14} the passions of men are incorporated
with the beautiful and permanent forms of nature. The language
too\textsuperscript{14} of these men is adopted (purified indeed from what appear
to be its real defects, from all lasting and rational causes of
dislike or disgust) because such men hourly communicate with
the best objects from which the best part of language is
originally derived; and because, from their rank in society and
the sameness and narrow circle of their intercourse, being less
under the action\textsuperscript{15} of social vanity they convey their feelings
and notions in simple and unelaborated expressions. Accord­
ingly\textsuperscript{16} such a language arising out of repeated experience and
regular feelings is a more permanent and a far more philo­sophical language than that which is frequently substituted for
it by Poets, who think that they are conferring honour upon
themselves and their art in proportion as they separate them­

\textsuperscript{12} chosen, because in that condition, the
\textsuperscript{13} because in that condition of life . . . co-exist . . .
simplicity, . . . contemplated, . . . occupations,
\textsuperscript{14} condition . . . language, too,
\textsuperscript{15} influence
\textsuperscript{16} Accordingly, such a language, . . . feelings, . . . permanent,
. . . language, . . . and their art, . . . expression, . . . tastes, and
fickle appetites,
selves from the sympathies of men, and indulge in arbitrary and capricious habits of expression in order to furnish food for fickle tastes and fickle appetites of their own creation.*

I cannot be insensible of the present outcry against the triviality and meanness both of thought and language, which some of my contemporaries have occasionally introduced into their metrical compositions; and I acknowledge that this defect where it exists, is more dishonorable to the Writer's own character than false refinement or arbitrary innovation, though I should contend at the same time that it is far less pernicious in the sum of its consequences. From such verses the Poems in these volumes will be found distinguished at least by one mark of difference, that each of them has a worthy purpose. Not that I mean to say, that I always began to write with a distinct purpose formally conceived; but I believe that my habits of meditation have so formed my feelings, as that my descriptions of such objects as strongly excite those feelings, will be found to carry along with them a purpose. If in this opinion I am mistaken I can have little right to the name of a Poet. For all good poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings; but though this be true, Poems to which any value can be attached, were never produced on any variety of subjects but by a man who being possessed of more than usual organic sensibility had also thought long and deeply. For our continued influxes of feeling are modified and directed by our thoughts, which are indeed the representatives of all our past feelings; and as by contemplating the relation of these general representatives to each other, we discover what is really important to men, so by the repetition and continuance of this

* It is worth while here to observe that the affecting parts of Chaucer are almost always expressed in language pure and universally intelligible even to this day.

---

17 I cannot, however, . . . acknowledge, that this defect,
18 mistaken,
19 a man, who . . . sensibility, had . . .
20 See opposite page.
act feelings connected with important subjects will be nourished, till at length, if we be originally possessed of much organic sensibility, such habits of mind will be produced that by obeying blindly and mechanically the impulses of those habits we shall describe objects and utter sentiments of such a nature and in such connection with each other, that the understanding of the being to whom we address ourselves, if he be in a healthful state of association, must necessarily be in some degree enlightened, his taste exalted, and his affections ameliorated.

I have said that each of these poems has a purpose. I have also informed my Reader what this purpose will be found principally to be: namely to illustrate the manner in which our feelings and ideas are associated in a state of excitement. But speaking in less general language, it is to follow the fluxes and refluxes of the mind when agitated by the great and simple affections of our nature. This object I have endeavoured in these short essays to attain by various means; by tracing the maternal passion through many of its more subtle windings, as in the poems of the *Idiot Boy* and the *Mad Mother*; by accompanying the last struggles of a human being at the approach of death, cleaving in solitude to life and society, as in the Poem of the *Forsaken Indian*; by shewing, as in the Stanzas entitled *We Are Seven*, the perplexity and obscurity which in childhood attend our notion of death, or

---

20 and, . . . representatives to each other we discover what is really important to men, so, . . . this act, our feelings will be connected . . . subjects, till at length, if we be possessed of much sensibility, . . . produced, that, by . . . habits, . . . objects, and utter sentiments, . . . in some degree enlightened, and his affections ameliorated.

21 namely to illustrate

22 But, speaking in language somewhat more appropriate,

23 human being, at the
rather our utter inability to admit that notion; or by displaying the strength of fraternal, or to speak more philosophically, of moral attachment when early associated with the great and beautiful objects of nature, as in the *Brothers*; or, as in the Incident of *Simon Lee*, by placing my Reader in the way of receiving from ordinary moral sensations another and more salutary impression than we are accustomed to receive from them. It has also been part of my general purpose to attempt to sketch characters under the influence of less impassioned feelings, as in the *Old Man Travelling*, the *Two Thieves*, &c. characters of which the elements are simple, belonging rather to nature than to manners, such as exist now and will probably always exist, and which from their constitution may be distinctly and profitably contemplated. I will not abuse the indulgence of my Reader by dwelling longer upon this subject; but it is proper that I should mention one other circumstance which distinguishes these Poems from the popular Poetry of the day; it is this, that the feeling therein developed gives importance to the action and situation and not the action and situation to the feeling. My meaning will be rendered perfectly intelligible by referring my Reader to the Poems entitled *Poor Susan* and the *Childless Father*, particularly to the last Stanza of the latter Poem.

I will not suffer a sense of false modesty to prevent me from asserting, that I point my Reader's attention to this mark of distinction far less for the sake of these particular Poems than from the general importance of the subject. The subject is indeed important! For the human mind is capable of excitement without the application of gross and violent stimulants; and he must have a very faint perception of its beauty and

---

24 *feelings, as in the two April mornings, the Fountain, the*  
25 *exist now, and*  
26 *situation, . . . distinction, far*  
27 *of being excited*
dignity who does not know this, and who does not further know
that\textsuperscript{28} one being is elevated above another in proportion as he
possesses this capability. It has therefore appeared to me\textsuperscript{29} that
to endeavour to produce or enlarge this capability is one of the
best services in which, at any period, a Writer can be engaged;
but this service, excellent at all times, is especially so at the
present day. For a multitude of causes unknown to former
times\textsuperscript{30} are now acting with a combined force to blunt the dis-
criminating powers of the mind, and unfitting it for all
voluntary exertion to reduce it to a state of almost savage
torpor. The most effective of these causes are the great national
events which are daily taking place, and the encreasing
accumulation of men in cities, where the uniformity of their
occupations produces a craving for extraordinary incident\textsuperscript{31}
which the rapid communication of intelligence hourly gratifies.
To this tendency of life and manners the literature and
theatrical exhibitions of the country have conformed them-
selves. The invaluable works of our elder writers, I had almost
said the works of Shakespear and Milton, are driven into
neglect by frantic novels, sickly and stupid German Tragedies,
and deluges of idle and extravagant stories in verse. – When I
think upon this degrading thirst after outrageous stimulation\textsuperscript{32}
I am almost ashamed to have spoken of the feeble effort with
which I haveendeavoured to counteract it; and\textsuperscript{33} reflecting upon
the magnitude of the general evil, I should be oppressed with
no dishonorable melancholy, had I not a deep impression of
certain inherent and indestructible qualities of the human mind,
and likewise of certain powers in the great and permanent
objects that act upon it which are equally inherent and

\textsuperscript{28} know, that . . . another, in
\textsuperscript{29} appeared to me, that
\textsuperscript{30} causes, . . . times, are
\textsuperscript{31} incident, which
\textsuperscript{32} stimulation, I
\textsuperscript{33} and,
indestructible; and did I not further add to this impression a belief\textsuperscript{34} that the time is approaching when the evil will be systematically opposed by men of greater powers\textsuperscript{35} and with far more distinguished success.

Having dwelt thus long on the subjects and aim of these Poems, I shall request the Reader's permission to apprize him of a few circumstances relating to their style, in order, among other reasons, that I may not be censured for not having performed what I never attempted. Except\textsuperscript{36} in a very few instances the Reader will find no personifications of abstract ideas in these volumes, not that I mean to censure such personifications: they may be well fitted for certain sorts of composition, but in these Poems I propose to myself to imitate, and, as far as possible, to adopt the very language of men, and I do not find that such personifications make any regular or natural part of that language. I wish to keep my Reader in the company of flesh and blood, persuaded that by

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[34] belief, that
\item[35] opposed, by men of greater powers,
\item[36] changes "Except in a very few . . . interest him likewise:" to: The Reader will find that personifications of abstract ideas rarely occur in these volumes; and, I hope, are utterly rejected as an ordinary device to elevate the style, and raise it above prose. I have proposed to myself to imitate, and, as far as is possible, to adopt the very language of men; and assuredly such personifications do not make any natural or regular part of that language. They are, indeed, a figure of speech occasionally prompted by passion, and I have made use of them as such; but I have endeavoured utterly to reject them as a mechanical device of style, or as a family language which Writers in metre seem to lay claim to by prescription. I have wished to keep my Reader in the company of flesh and blood, persuaded that by so doing I shall interest him. I am, however, well aware that others who pursue a different track may interest him likewise;
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
so doing I shall interest him. Not but that I believe that others
who pursue a different track may interest him likewise: I do
not interfere with their claim, I only wish to prefer a different
claim of my own. There will also be found in these volumes
little of what is usually called poetic diction; I have taken as
much pains to avoid it as others ordinarily take to produce it;
this I have done for the reason already alleged, to bring my
language near to the language of men, and further, because the
pleasure which I have proposed to myself to impart is of a kind
very different from that which is supposed by many persons to
be the proper object of poetry. I do not know how without
being culpably particular I can give my Reader a more exact
notion of the style in which I wished these poems to be written
than by informing him that I have at all times endeavoured to
look steadily at my subject, consequently I hope it will be
found that there is in these Poems little falsehood of descrip­
tion, and that my ideas are expressed in language fitted to
their respective importance. Something I must have gained by
this practice, as it is friendly to one property of all good poetry,
namely good sense; but it has necessarily cut me off from a
large portion of phrases and figures of speech which from
father to son have long been regarded as the common inherit­
ance of Poets. I have also thought it expedient to restrict
myself still further, having abstained from the use of many
expressions, in themselves proper and beautiful, but which
have been foolishly repeated by bad Poets till such feelings of
disgust are connected with them as it is scarcely possible by any
art of association to overpower.

If in a Poem there should be found a series of lines, or even a
single line, in which the language, though naturally arranged

37 consequently,
38 consequently, I hope that there is
39 namely, good
40 Poets, till
and according to the strict laws of metre, does not differ from
that of prose, there is a numerous class of critics who, when
they stumble upon these prosaisms as they call them, imagine
that they have made a notable discovery, and exult over the
Poet as over a man ignorant of his own profession. Now these
men would establish a canon of criticism which the Reader will
conclude he must utterly reject if he wishes to be pleased with
these volumes. And it would be a most easy task to prove to
him that not only the language of a large portion of every good
poem, even of the most elevated character, must necessarily,
except with reference to the metre, in no respect differ from
that of good prose, but likewise that some of the most interest­
ing parts of the best poems will be found to be strictly the
language of prose when prose is well written. The truth of this
assertion might be demonstrated by innumerable passages from
almost all the poetical writings, even of Milton himself. I have
not space for much quotation; but, to illustrate the subject in a
general manner, I will here adduce a short composition of
Gray, who was at the head of those who by their reasonings
have attempted to widen the space of separation betwixt Prose
and Metrical composition, and was more than any other
man curiously elaborate in the structure of his own poetic
diction.

In vain to me the smiling mornings shine,
And reddening Phoebus lifts his golden fire:
The birds in vain their amorous descant join,
Or chearful fields resume their green attire:
These ears alas ! for other notes repine;
A different object do these eyes require;
My lonely anguish melts no heart but mine;
And in my breast the imperfect joys expire;

41 critics,
42 reject, if
43 prove to him, . . . of prose,
Yet Morning smiles the busy race to cheer,  
And new-born pleasure brings to happier men;  
The fields to all their wonted tribute bear;  
To warm their little loves the birds complain.

I fruitless mourn to him that cannot hear  
And weep the more because I weep in vain.†

It will easily be perceived that the only part of this Sonnet which is of any value is the lines printed in Italics: it is equally obvious† that except in the rhyme, and in the use of the single word "fruitless" for fruitlessly, which is so far a defect, the language of these lines does in no respect differ from that of prose.

Is there then,† it will be asked, no essential difference between the language of prose and metrical composition? I answer that there neither is nor can be any essential difference.† We are fond of tracing the resemblance between Poetry and Painting, and, accordingly, we call them Sisters: but where shall we find bonds of connection sufficiently strict to typify the affinity betwixt metrical and prose composition? They both speak by and to the same organs; the bodies in which both of them are clothed may be said to be of the same substance, their affections are kindred and almost identical, not necessarily

† Sonnet on the Death of Richard West.

†† obvious,
†‡ that,
††† From "Is there then" to "essential difference." becomes: By the foregoing quotation I have shewn that the language of Prose may yet be well adapted to Poetry; and I have previously asserted that a large portion of the language of every good poem can in no respect differ from that of good Prose. I will go further. I do not doubt that it may be safely affirmed, that there neither is, nor can be, any essential difference between the language of prose and metrical composition.
differing even in degree; *Poetry sheds no tears "such as
Angels weep," but natural and human tears; she can boast of no
celestial Ichor that distinguishes her vital juices from those of
prose; the same human blood circulates through the veins of
them both.

If it be affirmed that rhyme and metrical arrangement of
themselves constitute a distinction which overturns what I
have been saying on the strict affinity of metrical language with
that of prose, and paves the way for other distinctions* which
the mind voluntarily admits, I answer that * the distinction of

* I here use the word "Poetry" (though against my own judgment) as
opposed to the word Prose, and synonymous with metrical composition.
But much confusion has been introduced into criticism by this contra­
distinction of Poetry and Prose, instead of the more philosophical one
of Poetry and** Science. The only strict antithesis to Prose is Metre.*

* of Poetry and Matter of fact, or Science.
* is Metre; nor is this, in truth, a strict antithesis; because
lines and passages of metre so naturally occur in writing prose, that
it would be scarcely possible to avoid them, even were it desirable.
* for other artificial distinctions
* after "I answer that" inserts a passage of over 350 lines
ending it as part of the sentence ("the distinction of" etc.)
continued on p. 262.

I answer that the language of such Poetry as I am recommending
is, as far as is possible, a selection of the language really spoken
by men; that this selection, wherever it is made with true taste and
feeling, will of itself form a distinction far greater than would at
first be imagined, and will entirely separate the composition from
the vulgarity and meanness of ordinary life; and, if metre be
superadded thereto, I believe that a dissimilitude will be produced
altogether sufficient for the gratification of a rational mind. What
other distinction would we have? Whence is it to come? And where
is it to exist? Not, surely, where the Poet speaks through the mouths
of his characters: it cannot be necessary here, either for elevation
of style, or any of its supposed ornaments: for, if the Poet's subject
be judiciously chosen, it will naturally, and upon fit occasion, lead
him to passions the language of which, if selected truly and
judiciously, must necessarily be dignified and variegated, and
alive with metaphors and figures. I forbear to speak of an
incongruity which would shock the intelligent Reader, should the
Poet interweave any foreign splendour of his own with that which
the passion naturally suggests: it is sufficient to say that such
addition is unnecessary. And, surely, it is more probable that
those passages, which with propriety abound with metaphors and
figures, will have their due effect, if, upon other occasions where
the passions are of a milder character, the style also be subdued
and temperate.

But, as the pleasure which I hope to give by the Poems I now
present to the Reader must depend entirely on just notions upon
this subject, and, as it is in itself of the highest importance to our
taste and moral feelings, I cannot content myself with these
detached remarks. And if, in what I am about to say, it shall
appear to some that my labour is unnecessary, and that I am like
a man fighting a battle without enemies, I would remind such
persons, that, whatever may be the language outwardly holden by
men, a practical faith in the opinions which I am wishing to
establish is almost unknown. If my conclusions are admitted, and
carried as far as they must be carried if admitted at all, our judg­
ments concerning the works of the greatest Poets both ancient and
modern will be far different from what they are at present, both
when we praise, and when we censure: and our moral feelings
influencing, and influenced by these judgments will, I believe, be
corrected and purified.

Taking up the subject, then, upon general grounds, I ask what
is meant by the word Poet? What is a Poet? To whom does he
address himself? And what language is to be expected from him?
He is a man speaking to men: a man, it is true, endued with more
lively sensibility, more enthusiasm and tenderness, who has a
greater knowledge of human nature, and a more comprehensive
soul, than are supposed to be common among mankind; a man
pleased with his own passions and volitions, and who rejoices more than other men in the spirit of life that is in him; delighting to contemplate similar volitions and passions as manifested in the goings-on of the Universe, and habitually impelled to create them where he does not find them. To these qualities he has added a disposition to be affected more than other men by absent things as if they were present; an ability of conjuring up in himself passions, which are indeed far from being the same as those produced by real events, yet (especially in those parts of the general sympathy which are pleasing and delightful) do more nearly resemble the passions produced by real events, than anything which, from the motions of their own minds merely, other men are accustomed to feel in themselves; whence, and from practice, he has acquired a greater readiness and power in expressing what he thinks and feels, and especially those thoughts and feelings which, by his own choice, or from the structure of his own mind, arise in him without immediate external excitation.

But, whatever portion of this faculty we may suppose even the greatest Poet to possess, there cannot be a doubt but that the language which it will suggest to him, must, in liveliness and truth, fall far short of that which is uttered by men in real life, under the actual pressure of those passions, certain shadows of which the Poet thus produces, or feels to be produced, in himself. However exalted a notion we would wish to cherish of the character of a Poet, it is obvious, that, while he describes and imitates passions, his situation is altogether slavish and mechanical, compared with the freedom and power of real and substantial action and suffering. So that it will be the wish of the Poet to bring his feelings near to those of the persons whose feelings he describes, nay, for short spaces of time perhaps, to let himself slip into an entire delusion, and even confound and identify his own feelings with theirs; modifying only the language which is thus suggested to him, by a consideration that he describes for a particular purpose, that of giving pleasure. Here, then, he will apply the principle on which I have so much insisted, namely, that of selection; on this he will depend for removing what
would otherwise be painful or disgusting in the passion; he will feel that there is no necessity to trick out or to elevate nature; and, the more industriously he applies this principle, the deeper will be his faith that no words, which his fancy or imagination can suggest, will be to be compared with those which are the emanations of reality and truth.

But it may be said by those who do not object to the general spirit of these remarks, that, as it is impossible for the Poet to produce upon all occasions language as exquisitely fitted for the passion as that which the real passion itself suggests, it is proper that he should consider himself as in the situation of a translator, who deems himself justified when he substitutes excellences of another kind for those which are unattainable by him; and endeavours occasionally to surpass his original, in order to make some amends for the general inferiority to which he feels that he must submit. But this would be to encourage idleness and unmanly despair. Further, it is the language of men who speak of what they do not understand; who talk of Poetry as of a matter of amusement and idle pleasure; who will converse with us as gravely about a taste for Poetry, as they express it, as if it were a thing as indifferent as a taste for Rope-dancing, or Frontiniac or Sherry. Aristotle, I have been told, hath said, that Poetry is the most philosophic of all writing: it is so: its object is truth, not individual and local, but general, and operative; not standing upon external testimony, but carried alive into the heart by passion; truth which is its own testimony, which gives strength and divinity to the tribunal to which it appeals, and receives them from the same tribunal. Poetry is the image of man and nature. The obstacles which stand in the way of the fidelity of the Biographer and Historian, and of their consequent utility, are incalculably greater than those which are to be encountered by the Poet who has an adequate notion of the dignity of his art. The Poet writes under one restriction only, namely, that of the necessity of giving immediate pleasure to a human Being possessed of that information which may be expected from him, not as a lawyer, a physician, a mariner, an
astronomer or a natural philosopher, but as a Man. Except this one restriction, there is no object standing between the Poet and the image of things; between this, and the Biographer and Historian there are a thousand.

Nor let this necessity of producing immediate pleasure be considered as a degradation of the Poet's art. It is far otherwise. It is an acknowledgment of the beauty of the universe, an acknowledgment the more sincere, because it is not formal, but indirect; it is a task light and easy to him who looks at the world in the spirit of love: further, it is a homage paid to the native and naked dignity of man, to the grand elementary principle of pleasure, by which he knows, and feels, and lives, and moves. We have no sympathy but what is propagated by pleasure: I would not be misunderstood; but wherever we sympathize with pain it will be found that the sympathy is produced and carried on by subtle combinations with pleasure. We have no knowledge, that is, no general principles drawn from the contemplation of particular facts, but what has been built up by pleasure, and exists in us by pleasure alone. The Man of Science, the Chemist and Mathematician, whatever difficulties and disgusts they may have had to struggle with, know and feel this. However painful may be the objects with which the Anatomist's knowledge is connected, he feels that his knowledge is pleasure; and where he has no pleasure he has no knowledge. What then does the Poet? He considers man and the objects that surround him as acting and re-acting upon each other, so as to produce an infinite complexity of pain and pleasure; he considers man in his own nature and in his ordinary life as contemplating this with a certain quantity of immediate knowledge, with certain convictions, intuitions, and deductions which by habit become of the nature of intuitions; he considers him as looking upon this complex scene of ideas and sensations, and finding everywhere objects that immediately excite in him sympathies which, from the necessities of his nature, are accompanied by an overbalance of enjoyment.

To this knowledge which all men carry about with them, and to these sympathies in which without any other discipline than that of
our daily life we are fitted to take delight, the Poet principally
directs his attention. He considers man and nature as essentially
adapted to each other, and the mind of man as naturally the mirror
of the fairest and most interesting qualities of nature. And thus the
Poet, prompted by this feeling of pleasure which accompanies him
through the whole course of his studies, converses with general
nature with affections akin to those, which, through labour and
length of time, the Man of Science has raised up in himself, by
conversing with those particular parts of nature which are the
objects of his studies. The knowledge both of the Poet and the Man
of Science is pleasure; but the knowledge of the one cleaves to us as
a necessary part of our existence, our natural and unalienable
inheritance; the other is a personal and individual acquisition,
slow to come to us, and by no habitual and direct sympathy con­
necting us with our fellow-beings. The Man of Science seeks truth
as a remote and unknown benefactor; he cherishes and loves it in
his solitude: the Poet, singing a song in which all human beings
join with him, rejoices in the presence of truth as our visible friend
and hourly companion. Poetry is the breath and finer spirit of all
knowledge: it is the impassioned expression which is in the
countenance of all Science. Emphatically may it be said of the
Poet, as Shakespeare hath said of man, "that he looks before and
after." He is the rock of defence of human nature; an upholder and
preserver, carrying every where with him relationship and love.
In spite of difference of soil and climate, of language and manners,
of laws and customs, in spite of things silently gone out of mind
and things violently destroyed, the Poet binds together by passion
and knowledge the vast empire of human society, as it is spread
over the whole earth, and over all time. The objects of the Poet's
thoughts are every where; though the eyes and senses of man are, it
is true, his favorite guides, yet he will follow wheresoever he can
find an atmosphere of sensation in which to move his wings. Poetry
is the first and last of all knowledge — it is as immortal as the heart
of man. If the labours of men of Science should ever create any
material revolution, direct or indirect, in our condition, and in the
impressions which we habitually receive, the Poet will sleep then
no more than at present, but he will be ready to follow the steps of
the Man of Science, not only in those general indirect effects, but he
will be at his side, carrying sensation into the midst of the objects
of the Science itself. The remotest discoveries of the Chemist, the
Botanist, or Mineralogist, will be as proper objects of the Poet's
art as any upon which it can be employed, if the time should ever
come when these things shall be familiar to us, and the relations
under which they are contemplated by the followers of these
respective Sciences shall be manifestly and palpably material to us
as enjoying and suffering beings. If the time should ever come
when what is now called Science, thus familiarized to men, shall
be ready to put on, as it were, a form of flesh and blood, the Poet
will lend his divine spirit to aid the transfiguration, and will
welcome the Being thus produced, as a dear and genuine inmate of
the household of man. — It is not, then, to be supposed that any one,
who holds that sublime notion of Poetry which I have attempted to
convey, will break in upon the sanctity and truth of his pictures by
transitory and accidental ornaments, and endeavour to excite
admiration of himself by arts, the necessity of which must
manifestly depend upon the assumed meanness of his subject.

What I have thus far said applies to Poetry in general; but
especially to those parts of composition where the Poet speaks
through the mouths of his characters; and upon this point it
appears to have such weight that I will conclude, there are few
persons of good sense, who would not allow that the dramatic parts
of composition are defective, in proportion as they deviate from the
real language of nature, and are coloured by a diction of the Poet's
own, either peculiar to him as an individual Poet, or belonging
simply to Poets in general, to a body of men who, from the circum­
stance of their compositions being in metre, it is expected will
employ a particular language.

It is not, then, in the dramatic parts of composition that we
look for this distinction of language; but still it may be proper and
necessary where the Poet speaks to us in his own person and
character. To this I answer by referring my Reader to the
description which I have before given of a Poet. Among the
qualities which I have enumerated as principally conducing to
form a Poet, is implied nothing differing in kind from other men,
but only in degree. The sum of what I have there said is, that the
Poet is chiefly distinguished from other men by a greater prompt­
ness to think and feel without immediate external excitement, and
a greater power in expressing such thoughts and feelings as are
produced in him in that manner. But these passions and thoughts
and feelings are the general passions and thoughts and feelings of
men. And with what are they connected? Undoubtedly with our
moral sentiments and animal sensations, and with the causes
which excite these; with the operations of the elements and the
appearances of the visible universe; with storm and sun-shine,
with the revolutions of the seasons, with cold and heat, with loss of
friends and kindred, with injuries and resentments, gratitude and
hope, with fear and sorrow. These, and the like, are the sensations
and objects which the Poet describes, as they are the sensations
and objects which interest them. The Poet thinks
and feels in the spirit of the passions of men. How, then, can his
language differ in any material degree from that of all other men
who feel vividly and see clearly? It might be
proved
that it is
impossible. But supposing that this were not the case, the Poet
might then be allowed to use a peculiar language when expressing
his feelings for his own gratification, or that of men like himself.
But Poets do not write for Poets alone, but for men. Unless there­
fore we are advocates for that admiration which depends upon
ignorance, and that pleasure which arises from hearing what we do
not understand, the Poet must descend from this supposed height,
and, in order to excite rational sympathy, he must express himself
as other men express themselves. To this it may be added, that
while he is only selecting from the real language of men, or, which
amounts to the same thing, composing accurately in the spirit of
such selection, he is treading upon safe ground, and we know what
we are to expect from him. Our feelings are the same with respect
to metre; for, as it may be proper to inform the Reader,
rhyme and metre is regular and uniform, and not, like that which is produced by what is usually called poetic diction, arbitrary and subject to infinite caprices upon which no calculation whatever can be made. In the one case the Reader is utterly at the mercy of the Poet respecting what imagery or diction he may choose to connect with the passion, whereas in the other the metre obeys certain laws, to which the Poet and Reader both willingly submit because they are certain, and because no interference is made by them with the passion but such as the concurring testimony of ages has shewn to heighten and improve the pleasure which co-exists with it.

It will now be proper to answer an obvious question, namely, why, professing these opinions have I written in verse? To this in the first place I reply, because, however I may have restricted myself, there is still left open to me what confessedly constitutes the most valuable object of all writing whether in prose or verse, the great and universal passions of men, the most general and interesting of their occupations, and the entire world of nature, from which I am at liberty to supply myself with endless combinations of forms and imagery. Now, granting for a moment that whatever is interesting in these objects may be as vividly described in prose, why am I to be condemned if to such description I have endeavoured to superadd the charm which by the consent of all nations is acknowledged to exist in metrical language? To this it will be

---

51 the distinction of metre is regular
52 and not like that
53 arbitrary,
54 case, the . . . whereas, in the other, the metre
55 To this, in addition to such answer as is included in what I have already said, I reply in the first place, because,
56 Now, supposing . . . condemned, if . . . charm, which, by . . . nations, is
57 To this, by such as are unconvinced by what I have already said, it may be answered,
answered, that a very small part of the pleasure given by Poetry depends upon the metre, and that it is injudicious to write in metre unless it be accompanied with the other artificial distinctions of style with which metre is usually accompanied, and that by such deviation more will be lost from the shock which will be thereby given to the Reader's associations than will be counterbalanced by any pleasure which he can derive from the general power of numbers. In answer to those who thus contend for the necessity of accompanying metre with certain appropriate colours of style in order to the accomplishment of its appropriate end, and who also, in my opinion, greatly under-rate the power of metre in itself, it might perhaps be almost sufficient to observe that poems are extant, written upon more humble subjects, and in a more naked and simple style than what I have aimed at, which poems have continued to give pleasure from generation to generation. Now, if nakedness and simplicity be a defect, the fact here mentioned affords a strong presumption that poems somewhat less naked and simple are capable of affording pleasure at the present day; and all that I am now attempting is to justify myself for having written under the impression of this belief.

But I might point out various causes why, when the style is manly, and the subject of some importance, words metrically arranged will long continue to impart such a pleasure to mankind as he who is sensible of the extent of that pleasure will be desirous to impart. The end of Poetry is to produce excitement.

---

58 metre, unless . . . associations,
59 those who still contend
60 it might perhaps, as far as relates to these Poems, have been almost sufficient to observe, that poems are . . . than I have aimed at,
61 and, what I wished chiefly to attempt, at present, was to justify
in coexistence with an overbalance of pleasure. Now, by the supposition, excitement is an unusual and irregular state of the mind; ideas and feelings do not in that state succeed each other in accustomed order. But if the words by which this excitement is produced are in themselves powerful, or the images and feelings have an undue proportion of pain connected with them, there is some danger that the excitement may be carried beyond its proper bounds. Now the co-presence of something regular, something to which the mind has been accustomed when in an unexcited or a less excited state, cannot but have great efficacy in tempering and restraining the passion by an intertexture of ordinary feeling. This may be illustrated by appealing to the Reader's own experience of the reluctance with which he comes to the re-perusal of the distressful parts of Clarissa Harlowe, or the Gamester. While Shakespeare's writings, in the most pathetic scenes, never act upon us as

† Probably refers to the play by Edward Moore, first published in 1753.

62 But, if
63 accustomed in various moods and in a less excited state,
64 intertexture of ordinary feeling, and of feeling not strictly and necessarily connected with the passion. This is unquestionably true, and hence, though the opinion will at first appear paradoxical, from the tendency of metre to divest language in a certain degree of its reality, and thus to throw a sort of half consciousness of unsubstantial existence over the whole composition, there can be little doubt but that more pathetic situations and sentiments, that is, those which have a greater proportion of pain connected with them, may be endured in metrical composition, especially in rhyme, than in prose. The metre of the old ballads is very artless; yet they contain many passages which would illustrate this opinion, and, I hope, if the following Poems be attentively perused, similar instances will be found in them.
65 This opinion may be further illustrated by appealing
pathetic beyond the bounds of pleasure – an effect which is in a great degree to be ascribed to small, but continual and regular impulses of pleasurable surprise from the metrical arrangement. – On the other hand (what it must be allowed will much more frequently happen) if the Poet’s words should be incommensurate with the passion, and inadequate to raise the Reader to a height of desirable excitement, then, (unless the Poet’s choice of his metre has been grossly injudicious) in the feelings of pleasure which the Reader has been accustomed to connect with metre in general, and in the feeling, whether cheerful or melancholy, which he has been accustomed to connect with that particular movement of metre, there will be found something which will greatly contribute to impart passion to the words, and to effect the complex end which the Poet proposes to himself.

If I had undertaken a systematic defence of the theory upon which these poems are written, it would have been my duty to develop the various causes upon which the pleasure received from metrical language depends. Among the chief of these causes is to be reckoned a principle which must be well known to those who have made any of the Arts the object of accurate reflection; I mean the pleasure which the mind derives from the perception of similitude in dissimilitude. This principle is the great spring of the activity of our minds and their chief feeder. From this principle the direction of the sexual appetite, and all the passions connected with it take their origin: It is the life of our ordinary conversation; and upon the accuracy with which similitude in dissimilitude, and dissimilitude in similitude are perceived, depend our taste and our moral feelings. It would not have been a useless employment to have applied this principle to the consideration of metre, and to

46 an effect which, in a much greater degree than might at first be imagined, is to be ascribed
47 minds,
have shown that metre is hence enabled to afford much pleasure, and to have pointed out in what manner that pleasure is produced. But my limits will not permit me to enter upon this subject, and I must content myself with a general summary.

I have said that Poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings: it takes its origin from emotion recollected in tranquillity: the emotion is contemplated till by a species of reaction the tranquillity gradually disappears, and an emotion, similar to that which was before the subject of contemplation, is gradually produced, and does itself actually exist in the mind. In this mood successful composition generally begins, and in a mood similar to this it is carried on; but the emotion, of whatever kind and in whatever degree, from various causes is qualified by various pleasures, so that in describing any passions whatsoever, which are voluntarily described, the mind will upon the whole be in a state of enjoyment. Now if Nature be thus cautious in preserving in a state of enjoyment a being thus employed, the Poet ought to profit by the lesson thus held forth to him, and ought especially to take care, that whatever passions he communicates to his Reader, those passions, if his Reader's mind be sound and vigorous, should always be accompanied with an overbalance of pleasure. Now the music of harmonious metrical language, the sense of difficulty overcome, and the blind association of pleasure which has been previously received from works of rhyme or metre of the same or similar construction, all these imperceptibly make up a complex feeling of delight, which is of the most important use in tempering the painful feeling

68 an emotion, kindred to that which
69 Now, if
70 similar construction, an indistinct perception perpetually renewed of language closely resembling that of real life, and yet, in the circumstance of metre, differing from it so widely, all these
Having thus adverted to a few of the reasons why I have written in verse, and why I have chosen subjects from common life, and endeavoured to bring my language near to the real language of men, if I have been too minute in pleading my own cause, I have at the same time been treating a subject of which will always be found intermingled with powerful descriptions of the deeper passions. This effect is always produced in pathetic and impassioned poetry; while in lighter compositions the ease and gracefulness with which the Poet manages his numbers are themselves confessedly a principal source of the gratification of the Reader. I might perhaps include all which it is necessary to say upon this subject by affirming what few persons will deny, that of two descriptions either of passions, manners, or characters, each of them equally well executed, the one in prose and the other in verse, the verse will be read a hundred times where the prose is read once. We see that Pope by the power of verse alone, has contrived to render the plainest common sense interesting, and even frequently to invest it with the appearance of passion. In consequence of these convictions I related in metre the Tale of Goody Blake and Harry Gill, which is one of the rudest of this collection. I wished to draw attention to the truth that the power of the human imagination is sufficient to produce such changes even in our physical nature as might almost appear miraculous. The truth is an important one; the fact (for it is a fact) is a valuable illustration of it. And I have the satisfaction of knowing that it has been communicated to many hundreds of people who would never have heard of it, had it not been narrated as a Ballad, and in a more impressive metre than is usual in Ballads.

Having thus adverted to a few of the reasons why I have written in verse, and why I have chosen subjects from common life, and endeavoured to bring my language near to the real language of men, if I have been too minute in pleading my own cause, I have at the same time been treating a subject of

71 while, in lighter compositions, the ease
72 that, of two descriptions, either
73 Having thus explained a few
general interest; and it is for this reason that I request the Reader's permission to add a few words with reference solely to these particular poems, and to some defects which will probably be found in them. I am sensible that my associations must have sometimes been particular instead of general, and that, consequently, giving to things a false importance, sometimes from diseased impulses I may have written upon unworthy subjects; but I am less apprehensive on this account, than that my language may frequently have suffered from those arbitrary connections of feelings and ideas with particular words, from which no man can altogether protect himself. Hence I have no doubt that in some instances feelings even of the ludicrous may be given to my Readers by expressions which appeared to me tender and pathetic. Such faulty expressions, were I convinced they were faulty at present, and that they must necessarily continue to be so, I would willingly take all reasonable pains to correct. But it is dangerous to make these alterations on the simple authority of a few individuals, or even of certain classes of men; for where the understanding of an Author is not convinced, or his feelings altered, this cannot be done without great injury to himself: for his own feelings are his stay and support, and if he sets them aside in one instance, he may be induced to repeat this act till his mind loses all confidence in itself and becomes utterly debilitated. To this it may be added, that the Reader ought never to forget that he is himself exposed to the same errors as the Poet, and perhaps in a much greater degree: for there can be no presumption in saying that it is not probable he will be so well acquainted with the various stages of meaning through which words have passed, or with the fickleness or stability of the relations of particular ideas to each other; and

74 particular words and phrases, from which
75 Hence I have no doubt, that,
76 itself,
77 in saying, that
above all, since he is so much less interested in the subject, he
may decide lightly and carelessly.

Long as I have detained my Reader, I hope he will permit
me to caution him against a mode of false criticism which has
been applied to Poetry in which the language closely resembles
that of life and nature. Such verses have been triumphed over
in parodies of which Dr. Johnson's Stanza is a fair specimen.

“I put my hat upon my head,
And walk'd into the Strand,
And there I met another man
Whose hat was in his hand.”†

Immediately under these lines I will place one of the most
justly admired stanzas of the “Babes in the Wood.”

“These pretty Babes with hand in hand
Went wandering up and down;
But never more they saw the Man
Approaching from the Town.”

In both of these stanzas the words, and the order of the
words, in no respect differ from the most unimpassioned con­
versation. There are words in both, for example, “the Strand,”
and “the Town,” connected with none but the most familiar
ideas; yet the one stanza we admit as admirable, and the other
as a fair example of the superlatively contemptible. Whence

† Boswell first heard this parody from Garrick on 9 May 1772, but
Johnson improved on Garrick's version. Boswell never published the
parody because of Percy’s sensitiveness. Wordsworth’s quotation gives
the version as Boswell had it from Johnson, but it is not known where
he discovered it. (v. Poems of Johnson, ed. Nichol Smith and McAdam,
Oxford, 1941, pp. 157-8.)

Percy’s version of the second of these stanzas has as its third line,
“But never more could see the man,” and his title is “The children in
the Wood”. (v. Wordsworth’s Preface to Lyrical Ballads, ed. W. J. B.
Owen, p. 191.)

78 In both these stanzas
arises this difference? Not from the metre, not from the
type, not from the order of the words, but the matter
expressed in Dr. Johnson's stanza is contemptible. The proper
method of treating trivial and simple verses to which Dr.
Johnson's stanza would be a fair parallelism is not to say† this
is a bad kind of poetry, or this is not poetry,‖ but this wants
sense; it is neither interesting in itself, nor can lead to any thing
interesting; the images neither originate in that sane state of
feeling which arises out of thought, nor can excite thought
or feeling in the Reader. This is the only sensible manner
of dealing with such verses: Why trouble yourself about the
species till you have previously decided upon the genus? Why
take pains to prove that an Ape is not a Newton when it is
self-evident that he is not a man.‖

I have one request to make of my Reader, which is, that in
judging these Poems he would decide by his own feelings
genuinely, and not by reflection upon what will probably be
the judgment of others. How common is it to hear a person say,
"I myself do not object to this style of composition or this or
that expression, but to such and such classes of people it will
appear mean or ludicrous." This mode of criticism§ is so destruc­
tive of all sound unadulterated judgment‖ is almost universal:
I have therefore to request‖ that the Reader would abide
independently by his own feelings, and that if he finds himself
affected he would not suffer such conjectures to interfere with
his pleasure.

If an Author by any single composition has impressed us
with respect for his talents, it is useful to consider this as
affording a presumption, that, on other occasions where we

† not to say, this is
‖ poetry; but this wants . . . man?
§ criticism, so
‖ judgment, is
‖ request,
have been displeased, he nevertheless may not have written ill
or absurdly; and, further, to give him so much credit for this
one composition as may induce us to review what has dis-
pleased us with more care than we should otherwise have
bestowed upon it. This is not only an act of justice, but in our
decisions upon poetry especially, may conduce in a high degree
to the improvement of our own taste: for an accurate taste in
Poetry and in all the other arts, as Sir Joshua Reynolds has
observed, is an acquired talent, which can only be produced by
thought and a long continued intercourse with the best models
of composition. This is mentioned not with so ridiculous a
purpose as to prevent the most inexperienced Reader from
judging for himself, (I have already said that I wish him to
judge for himself,) but merely to temper the rashness of
decision, and to suggest that if Poetry be a subject on which
much time has not been bestowed, the judgment may be
erroneous, and that in many cases it necessarily will be so.

I know nothing would have so effectually contributed to
further the end which I have in view as to have shewn of what
kind the pleasure is, and how the pleasure is produced which
is confessedly produced by metrical composition essentially
different from what I have here endeavored to recommend; for
the Reader will say that he has been pleased by such
composition and what can I do more for him? The power of
any art is limited and he will suspect that if I propose to
furnish him with new friends it is only upon condition of his
abandoning his old friends. Besides, as I have said, the Reader
is himself conscious of the pleasure which he has received from
such composition, composition to which he has peculiarly

84 poetry, and
85 mentioned, ... suggest, that, if ... erroneous; and that
86 how that pleasure is produced, which is confessedly ... recommend; for ... composition; and what
87 limited; and he will suspect, that ... new friends, it is
attached the endearing name of Poetry; and all men feel an habitual gratitude, and something of an honorable bigotry for the objects which have long continued to please them: we not only wish to be pleased, but to be pleased in that particular way in which we have been accustomed to be pleased. There is a host of arguments in these feelings; and I should be the less able to combat them successfully, as I am willing to allow, that, in order entirely to enjoy the Poetry which I am recommending, it would be necessary to give up much of what is ordinarily enjoyed. But would my limits have permitted me to point out how this pleasure is produced, I might have removed many obstacles, and assisted my Reader in perceiving that the powers of language are not so limited as he may suppose; and that it is possible that poetry may give other enjoyments, of a purer, more lasting, and more exquisite nature. But this part of my subject I have been obliged altogether to omit: as it has been less my present aim to prove that the interest excited by some other kinds of poetry is less vivid, and less worthy of the nobler powers of the mind, than to offer reasons for presuming, that, if the object which I have proposed to myself were adequately attained, a species of poetry would be produced, which is genuine poetry; in its nature well adapted to interest mankind permanently, and likewise important in the multiplicity and quality of its moral relations.

From what has been said, and from a perusal of the Poems, the Reader will be able clearly to perceive the object which I have proposed to myself: he will determine how far I have attained this object; and, what is a much more important question, whether it be worth attaining; and upon the decision of these two questions will rest my claim to the approbation of the public.

---

88 But, would
89 This part of my subject I have not altogether neglected; but it has been less my present aim to prove, that
Notes to the Poems

The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere (p. 9)

The text of this poem underwent considerable changes between the date of its first publication in 1798 and its publication in Sibylline Leaves in 1817. This first version should be compared with the final one which is that given in most modern editions. The main alterations between the first and the last versions are given in footnotes to the text which refer the reader to The Poetical Works of S. T. Coleridge ed. E. H. Coleridge, Oxford, 1912, who adopts the text of 1834. The poem was reprinted in Lyrical Ballads in 1800, 1802 and 1805. The text in 1802 and 1805 follows that of 1800. The poem was first published under Coleridge's name in Sibylline Leaves in 1817 and in the editions of 1828, 1829 and 1834. The marginal glosses first appeared in 1817 but may have been written much earlier.

In 1800 the poem and the prose Argument which precedes it were both changed considerably and the title became: 'The Ancient Mariner. A Poet's Reverie'. No doubt these changes were made at the instigation of Wordsworth, who felt that the Ancient Mariner had been a stumbling-block to an appreciation of the 1798 volume. In a letter to Cottle on the 24th June 1799, Wordsworth wrote: 'From what I can gather it seems that the Ancient Mariner has upon the whole been an injury to the volume, I mean that the old words and the strangeness of it have deterred readers from going on.' In the revised copy of 1800, from which the printer worked for the 1802 edition, 'A Poet's Reverie' is erased on p. 5, but was left on the half-title on p. 1 and remained there in the editions of 1802 and 1805. It may well have been erased in answer to the protest of Charles Lamb who also objected to the Note (afterwards omitted) appended to the poem by Wordsworth in 1800, in which Wordsworth censured Coleridge for not giving

1 But Coleridge afterwards (perhaps jocularly) defended his poem against this allegation. 'I was told by Longmans that the greater part of the Lyrical Ballads had been sold to seafaring men, who having heard of the Ancient Mariner, concluded that it was a naval song-book, or, at all event that its, had some relation to nautical matters.' [T.T., 448.]