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The United Nations General Assembly (GA) was established in 1945 by the Charter of the United Nations. Each member state has a permanent seat on this committee. Thus the GA is the congress or parliament of the United Nations. It “occupies a central position as the chief deliberative, policy-making and representative organ of the United Nations.”

In the GA, each UN member state has one vote. No matter how different in military and economic power, all are equal. Thus the United States’ vote counts the same as Egypt’s, and Bolivia has the same voice as China. This is opposed to some UN committees, such as the Security Council, which have limited membership or special voting rules. This feature gives the General Assembly a great deal of legitimacy on the world stage. It is a place where world public opinion can be expressed.

The GA covers all issues of global importance. Article 14 of the United Nations Charter gives the GA the power to “recommend measures for the peaceable adjustment of any situation, regardless of origin, which it deems likely to impair the general welfare or friendly relations among nations.” According to the Charter, the GA “may discuss any questions or any matters within the scope of the present Charter or relating to the powers and functions of any organs” except “any matters relative to the maintenance of international peace and security which are being dealt with by the Security Council.”

The majority of GA resolutions originate in one of the GA’s many subcommittees, such as GA-1 (disarmament and security), GA-2 (economic and financial), GA-3 (social, humanitarian, and cultural), and ECOSOC (economic and social). Thus, the relationship between the GA and its subcommittees is akin to that between the US Senate and its subcommittees. Resolutions passed by subcommittees are simply working papers. Only when the GA passes a resolution does it go into effect.

Resolutions passed by the GA are recommendations to Member States. Unlike Security Council resolutions, they are not binding. Nevertheless, GA resolutions are an expression of world public opinion. Moreover, they often inspire treaties and conventions that are binding on the states that sign them.

1 This background guide was written by Karen Ruth Adams, faculty advisor, with contributions from Kelsi N. Steele (2009), Kedra Hildebrand (2009), Samantha Stephens (2010) and Lindsay Benov (2011). Copyright 2012 by Karen Ruth Adams.


The GA-3 is a forum for UN Member States to discuss social, humanitarian, and cultural issues, especially those related to human rights. The GA-3 was established in 1947. The GA-3 meets each fall at UN headquarters in New York, as well as in special sessions as deemed necessary.

Because social, humanitarian, and cultural issues have traditionally fallen within the domestic jurisdiction of states, GA-3 debates can be very contentious. Whether human rights are universal and when, where, and how they should be enforced, remain open questions.

Membership, Voting and Leadership

The membership of the GA-3 includes all 193 UN Member States. In addition, non-member states and other entities recognized by the UN as permanent observers may attend and participate in meetings, but they cannot vote. At present, permanent observers include Palestine and the Holy See (Vatican City), as well as a number of international organizations.

Each UN member state has one vote on matters before the GA-3. Resolutions and reports pass the committee and go on for General Assembly consideration if approved by a majority of member states. According to the official GA website, “in recent years, a special effort has been made to achieve consensus on issues, rather than deciding by a formal vote, thus strengthening support for the Assembly’s decisions.”10 When the Chair determines that a consensus exists, a vote is taken by acclamation. Contentious issues are voted on in the traditional manner of in favor, in opposition, and in abstention.

Regional blocs and other alliances play an important role in building consensus. Blocs consist of both countries in the same region and countries from different regions that have similar concerns. For example, the Group of 77 is a group of less-developed countries that often vote together.

The Chair of the GA-3 chairs the meetings and corrects any procedural mistakes. The chairs of the GA-3 and other five main GA committees are elected annually by the members of their committees, with one chair from each world region. Elections are held at least three months before the beginning of the annual session. The other officers of the GA-3 (three vice chairs and a rapporteur) are elected at the beginning of each annual session.

---


In 1945, the founding members of the UN expressed their goals for the organization in Article I of the UN Charter. The third of these goals is:

To achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion.13

The GA-3 and its subsidiary body, the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), take the lead in drafting general resolutions on the social, humanitarian, and cultural matters (economic issues are referred to the GA-2). According to the GA-3 website, social, humanitarian, and cultural issues include:

the advancement of women, the protection of children, indigenous issues, the treatment of refugees, the promotion of fundamental freedoms through the elimination of racism and racial discrimination, and the right to self-determination. The Committee also addresses important social development questions such as issues related to youth, family, ageing, persons with disabilities, crime prevention, criminal justice, and international drug control.14

The GA-3 also works with the Human Rights Council (HRC) to address the human rights situation in particular UN member states. Specifically, the HRC reports to the GA-3 on specific human rights situations and its recommendations and resolutions for dealing with them. In October 2011, the HRC received 34 such briefings.15 The GA-3 then discusses the HRC’s recommendations and usually endorses them.

In considering human rights in general and in specific countries, the GA-3 is guided by the General Assembly’s 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which articulates civil and political rights such as the right to assemble and the right to vote, as well as economic, social, and cultural rights such as the right to work, the right to health, and the right to take part in cultural life and benefit from scientific progress.16 The UDHR was primarily drafted in and debated by the GA-3.

According to historian Paul Gordon Lauren, the UDHR was revolutionary because states did “something that had never been done before: create a declaration of universally accepted...standards of human rights...and … establish...a common standard valid ‘for all peoples and all nations.’”17 Thus the UDHR is best seen as a hope and a vision for the future, not a statement of the extent to which people enjoy these rights today. According to Lauren, John Humphrey, a member of the UN secretariat, described the GA-3 during the drafting of the UDHR as “perhaps the most turbulent body in the United Nations.”18

In 1966, the rights articulated in the UDHR were codified in two international treaties: the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,19 and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights.20

15 United Nations, “General Assembly Third Committee.”
18 Lauren, Visions Seen, p. 225.
Since then, some states have signed and ratified both covenants, while others have agreed to uphold only one. In general, Western bloc states signed the former, while Eastern bloc states signed the latter. Since the end of the Cold War, there has been some cross-over among the two. But many debates remain about which of these rights are most important, and why.21

These debates are at the root of many of the discussion of the GA-3. They are especially contentious, however, when it comes to country-specific matters. When particular states are criticized for failing to uphold certain social, humanitarian, and cultural standards, they and their allies often refuse to cooperate with the committee. Thus the body must be mindful of both the substance and the language of draft resolutions. As explained by Hamid al Bayati of Iraq, GA-3 Chairman during the 61st session (2006), which addressed human rights abuses in Iran, Myanmar, and the Democratic Peoples Republic of Korea:

“We need to put as much pressure as possible on countries to improve human rights conditions, and sometimes we are forced to follow the policy of name and shame…. However, we also don’t want to provoke them unnecessarily or accuse them of false things.”22

Recent and Current Events

In 2011, more than half of the GA-3’s 56 resolutions addressed human rights issues,23 and five (10%) addressed the human rights situation in particular countries – namely, Myanmar, Syria, Iran, and the Democratic Republic of Korea.24 The later passage of two of these resolutions by the GA Plenary was contentious:

The resolution on the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea was adopted by a vote of 123 in favour to 16 against, with 51 abstentions ... Following the defeat of a no-action motion on the Iran text by a recorded vote of 35 in favour to 100 against, with 42 abstentions (Annex XIII), the resolution was adopted by a vote of 89 in favour to 30 against, with 64 abstentions ...25

The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) are the centerpiece of the UN’s campaign to improve worldwide living standards, and are thus a perpetual part of the GA-3 agenda. The MDGs were passed in 2000 by the General Assembly.26 The goals are to meet the following benchmarks by 2015:

1. Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
2. Achieve universal primary education


21 Thomas M. Frank, “Are Human Rights Universal?,” *Foreign Affairs*, February 2001. ...

22 United Nations, "Sixty-first General Assembly: Third Committee (Social, Humanitarian and Cultural),” *UN Chronicle*, 44:1, March 2007, p. 38.,
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3. Promote gender equality and empower women
4. Reduce child mortality
5. Improve maternal health
6. Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases
7. Ensure environmental sustainability
8. A global partnership for development

Since 2000, the General Assembly has demanded and received annual reports quantifying country and regional progress towards the MDGs. In this way, the GA encourages both short- and long-term progress on the goals. The GA has also repeatedly urged all developed member states to commit to donating 0.7 percent of their country’s gross domestic product toward overseas development assistance. As of 2012, forty percent of the targets have been met or are expected to be met by 2015, while ten percent of the indicators have deteriorated or shown no progress.

The GA-3’s 2008 session occurred as the international financial crisis was unfolding. Thus as Chair Frank Majoor of the Netherlands explained, the focus was “very much on the impact on economic and social development.” According to Majoor, one of the most important decisions was to submit for the GA’s approval a draft resolution suggesting an optional protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. If passed, “it would establish a complaint procedure for victims whose economic, social and cultural rights had been violated.”

Many lower bodies report to and take direction from the GA-3, including the UN High Commissioner for Refugees and the Human Rights Council. In October 2008, one of the ideas brought to the GA-3 by the Human Rights Council was the “right to development,” which the committee endorsed in a draft resolution.

In September 2009, Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon congratulated the GA adopting its first resolution on the international community’s “responsibility to protect” (R2P) civilians from genocide, ethnic cleansing, war crimes, and other atrocities even if this means violating national sovereignty. In March 2011, the Security Council acted on this principle when it authorized “all necessary means” to protect civilians in Libya. After that, several members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) assisted Libyan rebels in their fight to depose President Moammar Kadafi. In doing so, they exceeded the Security Council mandate to protect civilians.

---
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Since then, the international consensus behind R2P has weakened,34 as is evident in the UN’s inability to respond to the civil war in Syria. Although the GA condemned the violence in December 2011,35 the Security Council has been paralyzed and unable to act on the situation.36 According to UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon, we face an urgent test here and now. Words must become deeds. Promise must become practice. You have all seen the horrible images and reports coming out of Syria: aerial bombardments of civilians; mothers weeping, clutching their dead children in their arms. Inaction cannot be an option for our community of nations. We cannot stand by while populations fall victim to these grave crimes and violations. We must uphold the core responsibilities of the United Nations.37

Among the GA-3’s 56 resolutions during the 66th (2011-2012) session were texts on women’s political participation, the suppression of political protest, and drug trafficking.38

In November 2011, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) António Guterres urged the Third Committee to address the forced displacement of people from their homes in response to “population growth, urbanization, climate change and food, water and energy insecurity.” According to Guterres, “displacement patterns have changed over the years, and further dramatic changes are likely. The world community [needs] to recognize protection gaps … and open the way for innovative approaches to address those gaps.” After Libya, the question: To protect or depose?,” Los Angeles Times, 25 August 2011, http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/25/opinion/la-oe-bolopion-libya-responsibility-t20110825

In November 2011, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) António Guterres urged the Third Committee to address the forced displacement of people from their homes in response to “population growth, urbanization, climate change and food, water and energy insecurity.” According to Guterres, “displacement patterns have changed over the years, and further dramatic changes are likely. The world community [needs] to recognize protection gaps … and open the way for innovative approaches to address those gaps.”

According to Guterres, if UN member states do not develop the capacity and will to respond early and effectively to natural disasters, famines, and wars, states in other regions will be vulnerable to the complex humanitarian emergencies recently seen in the Horn of Africa, which he described as “the worst I have seen in my time as High Commissioner.” According to him,

All of us could see this escalation coming from a long way away. Nonetheless, we, the international community, were slow to react to signs that things were starting to deteriorate. What is worse, we also didn’t have the capacity to prevent them from getting this bad in the first place… In such challenging circumstances, we must recognize our shared responsibility. And we must exercise our shared commitment.”39

Currently the UNHCR estimates that 5.5 million persons in the East and Horn of Africa need humanitarian assistance to avoid illness and death due to lack of food, water, and shelter. Most (20%) of those persons are from Somalia and are currently in or outside of refugee camps in Kenya.40
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Montana Model UN  
High School Conference

Agenda Topics for the MMUN Conference

At the 2012 Montana Model United Nations Conference, the General Assembly Third Committee will consider the following topics:

1. Controlling and Eliminating Malaria by 2015  
2. Strengthening International Humanitarian Law (war-time rights of wounded soldiers, POWs, and civilians)  
3. Trafficking in Women and Children

When writing your position papers and resolutions, think broadly about these issues, remembering both the overarching goals of the United Nations General Assembly, the human rights stated in the UDHR and other resolutions and covenants, and the perspective of the country you represent.

Recommended Reading


This is a scholarly critique of the Millennium Development Goals from the perspective of less-developed countries.


The “Strengthening of the UN” sections of this report by the former secretary general summarizes some of the problems of the General Assembly. In addition, the “Freedom from Want” and “Freedom to Live in Dignity” sections summarize many contemporary issues related to human rights.


This document lays out the purposes and procedures of the UN. Delegates should be familiar with this document, especially Chapter IV, which addresses the GA.


This non-governmental organization is an excellent source for human rights news and analysis, as well as special reports on specific countries and issues.


This is the official website of the General Assembly. It is the best place to start for information on the General Assembly’s actions and duties, as well as the agendas and resolutions of its various committees.


This is the official website of the GA-3. It offers basic facts about the committee, its focus during this session, and links to many vital documents and subsidiary groups.


This site provides access to each state’s UN mission website, where you can research your country’s position on the issues before the UN.

This report uses graphs and photos to show the work that has been done to meet the MDGs in various countries and regions since 2000. It also provides suggestions for meeting the goals by 2015.


This press release summarizes a Fall 2011 GA Plenary debate on GA-3 resolutions. It includes information on how each UN member state voted on resolutions initiated by the GA-3 on both general and specific country situations.


From this site you can read or watch your country's speeches at high-level GA Plenaries. This will give you a sense of its policy priorities and diplomatic style.